IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

EDWARD HENRY,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CIV No. 08-00483 JCH/ACT

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE, et al Defendants

PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION (WITH CITATIONS)

Plaintiff **EDWARD HENRY**, through his attorney, Dennis W. Montoya, MONTOYA LAW, INC. hereby submits his supplemental proposed instruction to the jury for the trial commenced on the Court's August 16, 2010 docket, attached and herein incorporated by reference.

Respectfully submitted, **MONTOYA LAW, INC.**

By: /s/ Dennis W. Montoya
Dennis W. Montoya
P.O. Box 15235
Rio Rancho, NM 87174
(505) 246-8499
(505) 246-8599 (facsimile)
Attorney for Ed Henry

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was delivered to opposing counsel via the Court's CM/ECF electronic filing system on August 17, 2010.

/s/ Dennis W. Montoya Dennis W. Montoya

PRAECIPE FOR PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSED REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTIONS

	GIVEN	REFUSED	MODIFIED
Requested Instruction No. 23			

PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 23

Evidence has been presented during the course of this trial regarding the defendant officers' compliance with Standard Operating Procedure of their police department. Compliance with Standard Operating Procedure is not to be considered by you in determining whether the degree of force used against the plaintiff violated his rights under the Constitution. Instead, you are to consider only whether the degree of force used against the Plaintiff was reasonable under the totality of the circumstances and from the point of view of reasonable police officers at the time and place of the occurrences.

AUTHORITY: *Tanberg v.Sholtis*, 401 F.3d 1151, 1159 (10th Cir. 2005) (modified to reflect use of force instead of probable cause); *United States v. Tueller*, 349 F.3d 1239 (10th Cir. 2003) (even inventory searches performed in accordance with the department's standard operating procedure must satisfy the Fourth Amendment's reasonableness requirement).